#### REMARKS

Claims 1-20 were submitted for examination.

Claims 1-20 have been rejected.

Claims 1-4, 6, 11, 14-18 and 20 have been amended.

Claim 19 has been cancelled.

No new matter has been added.

Reconsideration and reexamination of the above-referenced patent application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

# **Drawing Objection**

The drawings have been objected by Examiner as failing to show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Specifically, the Examiner objected to the features "keyboard interface", "keyboard cable", and "display screen" and "digital pen".

Figure 2 and Figure 5A have been amended. Support for the drawing amendment is included in the originally filed specification and claims. The feature "keyboard interface" has been described in paragraphs 19 and 24 and in claims 1, 15 and 16 as being included in the channel, coupled to one end of the keyboard cable, and positioned on the back side of the display section. The feature "keyboard cable" has been described in paragraphs 19 and 24 and in claims 1, 15 and 16 as being included in the support arm, coupled to the keyboard interface at one end and coupled to the keyboard at another end. The feature "display screen" is illustrated in the originally filed Figure 4B. The feature "digital pen" has been removed from claims 17, 18 and 20. Applicants submit that the specification as originally submitted (including the original claims) may serve as support for any amendments.

The claims as filed in the original specification are part of the disclosure and, therefore, if an application as originally filed contains a claim

disclosing material not found in the remainder of the specification, the applicant may amend the specification to include the claimed subject matter. *In re Benno*, 768 F.2d 1340, 226 USPQ 683 (Fed. Cir. 1985). See MPEP 2163.06.III. (Emphases added.)

Applicants submit that no new matter has been added.

# Claim Rejections - 112

Claim 17 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 17 has been amended. Applicants submit that the 112 rejection has been overcome.

#### Claim Rejections 102(e) - Tanaka

Claims 1-6 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,961,234 to Tanaka, et al. ("Tanaka").

Applicants submit that Tanaka does not teach the support arm as claimed in the amended claim 1. Applicants submit that claim 1 and its dependent claims 2-6 are patentable over Tanaka.

### Claim Rejections 102(e) - Ghosh

Claims 11-20 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,780,019 to Ghosh, et al. ("Ghosh").

Applicants submit that Ghosh does not teach the support arm as claimed in the amended claim 11. Applicants submit that claim 11 and its dependent claims 12-17 are patentable over Ghosh. Similarly, claims 18 and 20 are patentable over Ghosh.

## Claim Rejections - 103(a)

Claims 7-10 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka. Applicants submit that since claims 7-10 depend from the amended claim 1 which discloses one or more features not taught by Tanaka, claims 7-10 are also patentable over Tanaka.

# **CONCLUSION**

Applicants respectfully submit the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite or assist in the allowance of the present application, the Examiner is invited to call David Tran at (916) 377-3578.

Authorization is hereby given to charge our Deposit Account No. 50-0221 for any charges that may be due.

Respectfully submitted

Date: October 23, 2006 \_\_\_

David N. Tran

Attorney of Record for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 50,804

Direct Phone No. (916) 377-3578